The Athenian Mercury:

Saturday, November 14. 1691.

We having received so many Questions about Insant-Baptism, that it is impossible to State em all, Verbatim; yet we'll do all our Querists Justice, as near as such a small Paper will permit, by Stating the summe of all such Questions as are of the same Mattere together, which perhaps may be fully reduced to these following Ones:

Quest. 1. Hether (as is commonly Taught) Baptism is the Proper and Natural Antitype of Circumcifion? if this be provid, one great Pillur of Antipædobaptism falls to the ground, and with it the superstructure from which all such Consequences as have been deduc'd by Antipædobaptists, or Baptists, miscall'd by the Name of Anabaptists.

Answ. Not knowing the Customs of Nations, Linguisms, (if we may so say) or Expressions only peculiar to such and such Tongues, together with the Ignorance of the Radixes or Original Significations in Languages, are the great Obstacle of understanding Truth, and by consequence, the unhappy Introductions into many dangerous Heresies and Schisms in the Church. Perhaps these may be the great Cause of Disputes upon this present Subject, not to mention a Spirit of Contention. Our positive Answer to the Question, is, that in many Cases it will bear the Assirmative: Which we shall endeavour to prove from the following Considerations.

First, From the Customs amongst the Fews, in Profelyting the Geneiles into their Religion, fo far indeed Circumcifion was not properly a Type, but rather a Continuance of a Custom, that by Sr. John, our Saviour and his Apostles, had added unto it all that was necessary to make it a full, proper and pertinent Type of Baptism. That Baptism was the Common Custom of initiating Profelytes amongst the Fews by whole Families, which had also their Sponsors as we now have, is so well known by all Baptists, (or Anabaptists) that they can't deny it: So that it was the likelieft way for em to find little opposition in the practice of it; for had St. John the Bapfift, &c. undertook any New way of Profelyting the fews into the Gospel, they had not only struggled with the Oppositions of a New Dostrine, but also of a New Practice, therefore it was that this Custom was continued, and had the superaddition of the full force of Baptilm, viz. A Configuration or Seal of the Covenant. And this is further strengthened by several undenyable Texts in Scripture which Anabaptifts themselves can never get clear of, and ask em the Exposition, they must either be filent, or give fuch a Paraphrase as we do --- The Texts are thele: First. In whom also ye were Circumcifed with the Circumcifion made without bands, in puting off the Body of the fins of the Flesh, by the Circumcission of Christ, HAVING BEEN BURYED WITH HIM IN BAP-- The next is - The Baptizing the Ifraelites in the Red Sea, T Cor. 10. 2. According to St. Cyprian, Mare autem illud, &c. That the Red Sea was that Sacrament of Baptisin the Apostle declares, saying, I would not have you ignorant - and adds, All thefe things are our Figures. Cyprian. Ep. 69. Ed. Ox. — The last is, The saving of Noub and his Family in the Ark, 696. 1 Per. 3. 21. We urge not these to prove any thing else but the Paralel betwixt Circumcifion and Baprifm; or to speak more properly, the necessary continuance of the Old Manner amongst the Jews of continuing their way of Proselyting the Heathers, which by the way had no need to be exprefly fet down in what Method, what Perfons, whether Infants or not, the Custom being so well known before hand. But here we meet with an Objection of Baptizing Pemales, because Females were not Circumcizd, the impossibility of the Modus or Manner how, makes the Question forreign; but all were Baptiz'd, both Men, Women and Children, amongst the Heathen, before they were admitted into the Jewish Religion, which Answers the Objection—Besides we could, if it

were not a little impertinent, tell our Querist that several Nations had and yet continue the Custom of Circumcizing the Nimphæ of their Females, both upon a Religious and Civil Account: Some will tell us that this Custom (and 'tis not very improbable) was derived from the Jews, it being well known that the Dostrine of the Trinity which Plate taught, was learn'd from the Rabbi's, and also that several Nations have several Jewish Customs amongst 'em, the Jews being dispersed through the whole World, and in some places in very considerable Numbers.

e Unbelieved : If tiney lay, Nove but

Quest, 2. What certain indubitable Grounds can me have

for the Practice of Infant-Baptifm?

Anfw. From the Scripture: And First from the Order of the Words in the Commission, mastered ours and real 2000, Disciple all Nations; and then follows Banti Corres & dide oxorres; from the Order of which Words, 1. Infants are not Excluded from Baptifm, as is generally believ'd by Anabaptifts. 2. A Person may be Baptiz'd before he be Taught — For waln't warn signifies to Disciple all Nations, personally and subjectively, as we shall shew presently; being a general Word, it contains in it the two others that follow, viz. Burn Courses a Siddionourses, Baptizing and Teaching, it being the Imperative Mood, and the other two only Participles: So that the Commission of it is that, and the Mode of it thefe: But in the Mode, Baptiving em, precedes, Teaching em to observe, &c. Secondly, Children are capable of Profelysism, as may be observed from our Saviour's words, when he said, Suffer little Children to come unto me; the Original is in her meds, which is the same with mesonal fir, to Proselyte, which also Confirms the first Exposition of the Order of the Commission before recited - Belides, when we read of whole Families Baptiz'd, of the Covenant made to you and your Children, &cc. of Childrens being of the Kingdom of Heaven, of being Holy as being the Offspring of Profested or Belleving Parents — Nay further, when we meet with Instances of Children that have been so very Young, lome before they cou'd either goe or fpeak, that have had fuch strange Exits into another World, we may with all the Indignation imaginable explode that Uncharitable Position of Anabapsists, that say, Children have no more right to Baptism, &c. than Unreasonable Creatures. my felf have feen a Child about three Quarters Old, who when it was dying, grafp'd its Hands together, lift em up, look'd up it felf, and with an Air of all the fatisfaction that was possible for an antient Person, dy'd; another that smil'd dying; but to such as will meet with more such like Instances, I refer em to The De-Elrine of Infant Baptism, &c. by Samuel Winter, D. D. Printed for John Crook at the Sign of the Ship in Se. Pauls Church-yard; 'tis in Page 154, 155, &cc. We would ask fuch Perfons who deny Infants uncapable of Baptism, because they can show no actual Sign of it, What they think of the Ruler's Daughter? Our Saviour bid him only Believe, and it should be done. 'Tis a great weakness to believe Children should be done. Tis a great weakness to believe Children not Baptiz'd, from the Example of the Jaylor: The syriac, is, Baptizatus ell ipse, or filit domus eque; which compar'd with Ver. 32. Es cum omnibus fittis domus sue; Young and Old Men, Women and Children are included in this Text; and tis Nonsense to urge from the consequent Text, That those which were Baptized, glorified and praised God, which Children could not do? It means all such as were capable of doing it: But at their rate, we shall have all the Children of Anabaptists starv'd; for the said, Herber will not work, let him not ess: But Children must do it though not capable of working a or else not work. though not capable of working; or elfe not only the Race of Anabaptifis, but of all Christians, nay, of the whole World, must look be extrapated. From which we would all, What Privilege have the Children of Be-

lieving Perents above Unbelieving? If they fay, None but the Presers of fuch Parents, (for that they usually do,) we further ask, Whether the words, Ellewere your Children Weclean, but now they are Holy, does, it compar'd with the Contest, relate to Off-spring, or Prayer? For Prayer is

a common Debe to all Persons.

But we might further prove this from the Universal Content of the Churches in all Countreys; for as Tertullian de Prescriptora Heret. c. 28. Ecquid veri simile, &c. Had the Churches Erred, they would have Varied, esc. But we find it not only in the Churches of Europe, but also that 'tis the Uniform Practice from the first Plantation of Christian Churches, and of such Colonies of the same Mother Church, as had Correlpondence by their Bishops or Presbyters; and fuch as were of Original Plantations, or betwixt which 'tis more than probable there was no Communication, by reason of the valt distance and want of Intercourse between the Countreys where they lived, as the Abassin Church in the further Æshiopia, and the Indian Church in Contan and Crangenar, and about Maliapar, planted by St. Thomas, all which practice Infant-Baptilm : See Brerewood's Enquiries.

Next, Infant Baptism is to be prov'd by Antiquity; we being able to demonstrate, That there never was any particular Congregation till fome hundreds of Years after Christ, when all Churches us'd Infant Baptism; as may be funciently prov'd in the First, Second, Third, and fucceeding Centuries: Which shows that the word All, in Discipling all Nations, did and does comprehend Infants. Perhaps thefe Three last Arguments may have more force in 'em to conclude what they delign, than the first Thought will bear : We cou'd produce such undoubted Authornies, in every Age fince Christ, as wou'd facisfie any thinking Man, but our Paper is too

thort.

Quest. 3. Whether Infant Baptism is to be found in Scripture

Anjw. Not expresly in the Letter, but from necessary and unavoidable Consequences, as we have already shewn; which is enough to all Dis-interested Persons: To such as are Prejudic'd, we wou'd put this Question, Whether the Doctrine of the Trinity is to be found in the Scriptures? They'll make the Inference that we have done about Infant Baptism; nay and will think every one

a Heretick that believes it not sufficient.

Not could the Anabaptifts themselves defend themselves against the Quakers; who, for the aforesaid Reason, have laid aside all Ordinances. Suppose the Quakers shou'd ask us, Whether God made the World out of Preexistent Matter, or no? Whether Jesus Christ was the Second Person in the Trinity? Whether our Saviour was born of the Vyrgin Mary? How we can Maintain the Godbead of Christ? Nay, almost, How we can Prove any of the Articles of our Christian Faith? We shou'd be at a loss to do it verbatim, but by necessary Contequence it may be done. At this rate, no Language but Originals fignifie any thing in Doctrine, and Gigno, and to Beget, are two Sences, because two different Sounds and Letters; but we think fuch Conclusions so ridiculous, as to deferve the expence of no more time to confute 'em.

Quest. 4. Why was not Christ Baptized before be was

Thirty Tears old ?

Answ. From the same Reason that the Jaylor, the Eunuch, and St. Peter's Converts, were not; viz. There's no adhering to a Doctrine before it is Instituted; or, which is all one, that is not Preach'd, so as such and such Perfons might hear it: Infant Baptism was as much before our Saviour's time, as amongst those of riper Years since,

and that's Proof enough.

Quest. 5. Why Sprinkling, and not Dipping?

Anfw. Our Church denies not the latter to any one that defires it, but looks upon't as a clear Representation of our Saviour's descending into the Grave, abiding there, and rising up again; acording as the Apostle makes use of it, when he says We are buried with him in Baptism: But the Church has Power to dispense with Circumstantials, and the Manner of Acting, (though not the Act it felf,) especially in cold Countries, &c. But in this there's no Effential Difagreement, therefore not worth the Dispute on season to reachors to address you draw

Qualt. 6. What think yo of those that die in Infancy-Valore-

Answ. The Baptists are already satisfy'd about 'em, and we also hope very well of em, being affur'd of such are the Kingdon of Quell. 7. If Children be Saufd, whether Espired or not, when

fignifies Baptism?

An fiv. Tis the Padge of Christ, an Evident Note of Distinction from the Children of Infidels, and as we come to the Knowledge of spiritual things by fense, so this an evidence of a greater Afturance of the Favour of God zowards em, being visibly introduced into the Covenant of Grace.

Queft. 8. Whether Children have Faith or no, fince Faith and Repentance are pre-requisite to Bapti fin ?

Answ. We have already shew'd, that according to the Words of the Commission, Baptizing goes before Teaching, therefore there is not such a pre-requisiteness as some dream of, see Ass 9. Our Saviour was Sorn King of the Jews. But admit Faith as pre-requilite to Baptilin, We could Answer that Children have Faith potentia thos not in actu visibili; as an Artist, when he is indisposed or alleep is potentially an Artiff, thos not actually —— But our Saviour is full to the purpose, Marib. 18, 3, 4, 5, compared with Marb. 9.
36. Whosever shall receive one fach little Child in my Name, receives me, but who sever shall offend one of these little ones that BELIEVE IN ME, it were better that a Milstone were langed about his Neck, and be east into the midst of the Sea ____ If God be pleased to irradiate or shine upon the Souls of Children in Heaven, and they do behold the Face of God, as our Saviour fays, then it follows that they have Faith in Heaven, and why not on Earth? To behold the Face of God is an Act of Faith, fee Heb, 11.27. But fometimes we have visible Effects of the Faith of Children, witness those tamous Inflances of some little Children in New-England, related by Reverend Mr. Elliot, in his Book entituled Tears of Repentance. Relations are thefe:

This Spring, in the beginning of the year 1652. the Lord was please fed to afflitt fundry of our praying Indians with that grievous Dife eafe of the Bloody-flux; whereof some with great torments in their bowels died; ansing ft which two little Children of the Age of two years and upward, under three when they died, and at that time hash in one House, being taken with that Discale : The first of these Children in the extremity of its torments, lay crying to God in these words, God and Jesus Christ, God and Jesus Christ, help me I and when they gave it any thing to eat, it would greedily take it, (as it usual at the approach of Death) but first it would cry to God, Oh God and Jelus Chritt, blefs it ! and then it would take it; and in this minner it lay Calling upon God and Fesus Christ until it dyed. The Father of the Child told me the Story, with great wonderment at the Grace of God, in teaching his Child so to call upon God. The Name of the Fa-

Three or four dayes after, another Child in the fame house, fiet of the same Disease, was (by a divine kand doubtless) sensible of the Approach of Death, (an unusual thing at that Age) and called to its Father, and said, I am going to God, several simes repeating it, I am going to God. The Mother (as where Mothers use to do) had made for going to God. The Mother (as where Mathers use to do) and made for the Child a listle Bashet, a listle Spoon, and a listle Tray 3 the settings the Child was wont to be greasly delighted withall, (as all Childwill) therefore in the Extremity of Torments they set those things before it, a listle to divert the mind and abear the spirit; but now the Child takes the Bashet and puts it away, and said, I will leave my Bishet behind me, for I am going to God, I will leave my Spoon and Trey behind me, (puting them away) for I am going to God, and with these kind of Expressions the same Night smished its course, and dod. The Father of this Child is named Robin Speen, in whose Consessions he maketh mention of this Child that dyed in Faith. When he be maketh mention of this Child that dyed in Faith. When he related this flory to me, be faid he could not tell whether the Sortow for the Death of his Child, or his Joy for its Faith were the

After all, We could give Accounts of fuch Ring-leaders among & anabaptifit, that when they have come to dye, have been particularly cast down about their patronizing this Doctrine, as Minter, Stock, Bocold, Balthafar, &c. and tis Observable that in those Ages wherein Intant-Baptifin was opposed, it was by such as were grolly Erroneous in other things, but our business is not to Vex or Calumniate Perfors, but to convince all gain-fayers of the Truth of things, and hinder it possible some Persons from doing as much as in em lyes to keep their own Children out of the Covenant, 'tis an uncom-

mon Cruelty, if throughly considered of.

We have just now at the going of our Paper into the Pres, re-ceived another Letter from (one as We believe) a Teacher of the but if he pleales to lend his Objections, it he is not latisfyed in any thing, he shall have 'em Answer'd; and We'll be very just in Publishing the utmost thrength of what he or any body else can offer

- PROPOSALS for Printing a Book, entituled, The Young Students Library, containing Extracts and Abridgments of all the most Scarce and Valuable BOOKS that have been Printed either in England or in the Forreign Journals from the Tear 65, to this present time. By our thus going backwoards as well as for wards, to render our ACCOUNT OF BOOKS COMPLEAT, no Person can Subscribe to any but to our Bookseller, (who was the First Proposer of this Undertaking) but he's buy the same Extracts twice, as we'd show at large, were there the least Occasion for it. PROPOSALS are to be had at the Raven in the Poultrey.
- * Diatriba de signo Filii Hominis, & de secundo Messe adventu, &c. Authore Crescentio Mathero. Sald by J. Duston at the Raven in the Poultrey.

Next Wednesday will be Publish: THE VISIONS OF THE SOUL, &c. in 30 Dialogues. Written by a Member of the Athen. Society.